# THE POPLAR CLONE (*POPULUS MAXIMOWICZII* A. HENRY × *P. NIGRA* L.) GROWTH UNDER THE CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT OF GROWTH CHAMBERS

MARCELA HLAVÁČOVÁ<sup>1,2</sup>, MATĚJ ORSÁG<sup>1,2</sup>, MILAN FISCHER<sup>1</sup>, KAREL KLEM<sup>1,2</sup>, MIROSLAV TRNKA<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Global Change Research Centre AS CR, v. v. i. Bělidla 986/4a, 603 00 Brno, Czech Republic <sup>2</sup>Faculty of Agronomy, Mendel University in Brno, Zemědělská 1, 613 00 Brno, Czech Republic

The objective of this study is to test the clone J-105 (Populus maximowiczii A. Henry  $\times$  Populus nigra L.) responses to high temperatures under different water supply, CO<sub>2</sub> and VPD levels and. The experiment was established in May, 2014 by 144 cuttings planted into the pots. Sixty pots were placed into 5 growth chambers in July with the number of 12 pots per 1 chamber. The pots were split into 2 variants per 1 chamber – wet and dry treatment. The measurements, consisted in measurements of the plant heights, soil moisture (using ThetaProbe Soil Moisture Sensor) and CO<sub>2</sub> response curves (using LI-6400 Portable Photosynthesis System), were carried out weekly. There were 4 parameters set up within each chamber under 2 protocols: (1) daily temperature course, (2) relative humidity (Rh) that together with temperature enable to control VPD values, (3) CO<sub>2</sub> values and (4) PAR. The protocol for the control group was also established. The randomization between chambers was carried out weekly. The initial hypothesis was based on the assumption that elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (EC) will improve the water use efficiency and thus reduce the negative impact of drought, while increased air temperature and higher VPD will, on the contrary, amplify the negative effects of drought.

Keywords: short-rotation coppice, poplar clone J-105, growth chamber

# INTRODUCTION

Carbon dioxide is an essential substrate for photosynthesis leading to increased carbon uptake and assimilation, thereby increasing plant growth. Plant growth is stimulated by elevation of CO<sub>2</sub>. The application of more CO<sub>2</sub> increases plant water use efficiency (WUE) and results in less water use (Prior et al., 2011). The elevated  $CO_2$  may reduce transpiration (e.g. Allen et al., 1994; Jones et al., 1984) that together with increased photosynthesis contributes to WUE increase (e.g. Baker et al., 1990; Morison, 1985). The transpiration reduction caused by elevated CO<sub>2</sub> leads to the effects of drought raising (Bazzas, 1990) and allows plants to maintain enhanced photosynthesis (e.g. Acock and Allen, 1985). By the study of Prior et al. (2010) the elevated CO2 results also in water infiltration increase and sediment loss (through runoff) decrease. The vapour pressure deficit (VPD) can negatively affect plant growth as plants reduce stomatal conductance to water vapour in response to increasing VPD, limiting the ability of plants to assimilate carbon (Ocheltree et al., 2013). Plants reduce stomatal conductance in response to large VPD between the leaf and atmosphere and it leads to global reductions in ecosystem productivity (Zhao and Running, 2010). By reducing stomatal conductance to water vapour, plants minimize water loss and maintain the hydration of plant cells as VPD increases (Zhao and Running, 2010). When CO<sub>2</sub> is elevated, the most limiting resource becomes water or nutrients (Prior et al., 2011). Poplar (Populus spp.) species belongs to the most sensitive woody plants to water stress (Marron et al., 2003). Due to the mutual relationships among the mentioned parameters, it is necessary to study the responses of plants in relation to these factors. A study of the higher number of factors (such as CO2, drought, VPD and temperature) is possible only through growth chambers where all factors of environment are completely controlled.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The poplar clone J-105 (*Populus maximowiczii* A. Henry  $\times$  *Populus nigra* L.) hardwood cuttings were planted into the 12-liters pots with pot diameter approximately 30 cm at the

research company ZEMSERVIS - Test Station Domanínek, Ltd. (situated in Bystřice nad Pernštejnem within Bohemian-Moravian Highlands in the Czech Republic - altitude 530 m a.s.l.) in the beginning of May, 2014. The pots were placed on a field into the dug hole to avoid pots drying out and overheating. The plants were regularly watered and weeded. The pots were transported to the Global Change Research Centre, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, v. v. i. at the end of July, 2014. The 60 plants were put into the 5 growth chambers there. The five growth chambers of FytoScope FS-SI 3400 model (Photon Systems Instruments LLC, www.psi.cz) were used for the experiment. These chambers enable to set up the cultivation conditions both manually and through various protocols simulating diurnal changes of light intensity, spectral composition, temperature, relative humidity and CO<sub>2</sub>. Vapour pressure deficit (VPD) was controlled via actual temperature and relative humidity. There were 4 parameters set up within each chamber under 2 protocols: (1) daily mean temperature course (the same for both protocols, it was established as a mean hottest day in Domanínek according to the long-term climatic data), (2) relative humidity (Rh) that together with temperature enable to control VPD values (less than 1 kPa and higher than 1 kPa protocols), (3) CO<sub>2</sub> values (400 and 700 ppm protocols) and (4) photosynthetically active radiation – PAR (a daily maximal value 1,500 µmol.m<sup>-2</sup>.s<sup>-1</sup> in all chambers). The protocol for the control group was established thus: CO<sub>2</sub> at the level 400 ppm, daily temperature curve was based on a mean July day in Domaninek and so the Rh values. The 12 pots were subsequently split into 2 variants within 1 chamber: (1) wet treatment - it was assessed as a weakly rainfall from Domanínek [mm] recalculated into adequate water volume in liters per each pot (500 ml watering), (2) dry treatment - it was watered only if the soil moisture decreased below 12 % (measurements were carried out using ThetaProbe Soil Moisture Sensor). The protocols are presented within the Table 1. The measurements, consisted in measurements of the plant heights, soil moisture, chlorophyll content and CO<sub>2</sub> response curves, were carried out weekly.

		Hot day, high VPD			Hot day, low VPD						
Time	PAR [µmol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ]	T [°C]	Rh [%]	VPD [kPa]	T [°C]	Rh [%]	VPD [kPa]				
0:00	0	15	90	0,09	15	90	0,09				
4:30	0										
5:30		15	90	0,09	15	90	0,09				
8:00	1200	24	60	1,19	24	80	0,90				
11:30	1500	30	45	2,33	30	80	1,06				
15:00	1500	32	35	3,09	32	80	0,95				
18:00		30	45	2,33	30	80	1,06				
20:00	0										
21:00		20	80	0,47	20	80	0,47				
	Control, no stress										
T:											
Time	PAR [µmol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ]	T [°C]	Rh [%]	VPD [kPa]	Protocols	Signs	CO <sub>2</sub> [ppm]				
0:00	PAR [μmol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ] 0	T [°C] 12	Rh [%] 90	VPD [kPa] 0,14	Protocols Hot day,	Signs	CO <sub>2</sub> [ppm]				
0:00 4:30	PAR [μmol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ] 0 0	T [°C] 12	Rh [%] 90	0,14	Protocols Hot day, high VPD	Signs H_AC	CO <sub>2</sub> [ppm]				
0:00 4:30 5:30	PAR [μmol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ] 0 0	T [°C] 12 14	Rh [%] 90 90	VPD [kPa] 0,14 0,08	Protocols Hot day, high VPD	Signs H_AC H_EC	CO <sub>2</sub> [ppm] 400 700				
0:00 4:30 5:30 8:00	PAR [μmol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ] 0 0 1200	T [°C] 12 14 21	Rh [%] 90 90 60	VPD [kPa] 0,14 0,08 0,99	Protocols Hot day, high VPD Hot day,	Signs H_AC H_EC	CO <sub>2</sub> [ppm] 400 700				
0:00 4:30 5:30 8:00 11:30	PAR [µmol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ] 0 0 1200 1500	T [°C] 12 14 21 24	Rh [%] 90 90 60 50	VPD [kPa] 0,14 0,08 0,99 1,49	Protocols Hot day, high VPD Hot day, low VPD	Signs H_AC H_EC L_AC	CO <sub>2</sub> [ppm] 400 700 400				
0:00 4:30 5:30 8:00 11:30 15:00	PAR [µmol m <sup>2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ] 0 1200 1500 1500	T [°C] 12 14 21 24 25	Rh [%] 90 90 60 50 50	VPD [kPa] 0,14 0,08 0,99 1,49 1,58	Protocols Hot day, high VPD Hot day, low VPD	Signs H_AC H_EC L_AC H_AC	CO <sub>2</sub> [ppm] 400 700 400 700				
0:00 4:30 5:30 8:00 11:30 15:00 18:00	PAR [µmol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ] 0 0 1200 1500 1500	T [°C] 12 14 21 24 25 23	Rh [%] 90 60 50 50 50 50	VPD [kPa] 0,14 0,08 0,99 1,49 1,58 1,40	Protocols Hot day, high VPD Hot day, low VPD Control,	Signs H_AC H_EC L_AC H_AC	CO <sub>2</sub> [ppm] 400 700 400 700				
0:00 4:30 5:30 8:00 11:30 15:00 18:00 20:00	<u>PAR [µmol m² s¹]</u> 0 0 1200 1500 1500 0	T [°C] 12 14 21 24 25 23 15	Rh [%] 90 60 50 50 50 50 90	VPD [kPa] 0,14 0,08 0,99 1,49 1,58 1,40 0,17	Protocols Hot day, high VPD Hot day, low VPD Control, no stress	Signs H_AC H_EC L_AC H_AC C_AC	CO <sub>2</sub> [ppm] 400 700 400 700 400				

Table 1: The particular protocols within the growth chambers.

\* The empty fields within the Table 1 means that the temperature changed gradually up to the value following in the table.

The actual soil moisture was measured using ThetaProbe Soil Moisture Sensor (Delta-T Devices Ltd, http://www.deltat.co.uk) as procentual values. The chlorophyll content was measured using DUALEX SCIENTIFIC+TM (FORCE-A, http://www.force-a.eu) for the chlorophyll index evaluation. The CO<sub>2</sub> response curves were measured through LI-6400 Portable Photosynthesis System (LI-COR, Inc.. http://www.licor.com/env/) by the module A/Ci curves - i.e. net CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation rate, A, versus calculated substomatal CO<sub>2</sub> concentration, Ci (Manter and Kerrigan, 2004). The data sets of light saturated CO2 assimilation rate at growth CO2 concentration  $(A_{\text{max}})$  were evaluated from the LI-6400 Portable Photosynthesis System instrument.

# RESULTS

The main objective of this study was to evaluate the response of the hybrid poplar to drought stress in the combination with other environmental factors that influence the  $CO_2$  assimilation and transpiration rate by the regulation of stomatal closure. Simultaneously, these are factors whose importance is increasing in the context of global change: higher air temperature, elevated  $CO_2$  concentration (EC) and higher vapour pressure deficit (VPD). The basic hypothesis was based on the assumption that EC will improve the water use efficiency and thus reduce the negative impact of drought, while increased air temperature and higher VPD will, on the contrary, amplify the negative effects of drought.

As it is evident from Table 2, the soil moisture decreased to around 10 % within a 14 days of the experiment duration. The rate of decline in soil moisture was relatively little affected by the air temperature and VPD. However, there is evident a trend showing that the higher temperature and higher VPD accelerate soil drying. Relatively significant downward effect on soil moisture, however, has the EC. Here it was observed that the decrease in soil moisture is lower in both measurements under EC, indicating improved water use efficiency in EC due to the effect on stomatal closure.

Higher air temperature generally led to a small increase in chlorophyll content per area unit, in both well-watered and drought-stressed plants (Fig. 1). This increase was the lowest under high VPD combined with EC. Drought stress generally caused a decrease in chlorophyll content, and this decline was lower in low VPD. It is obvious, that the effect of EC on the chlorophyll content is different under low VPD where increase of chlorophyll content was observed and under high VPD, where chlorophyll content decreased. This interaction is probably due to a complex action of most factors on the leaf thickness, which under moderate drought stress, higher temperature and EC conditions increases, and thus indirectly increases the chlorophyll content per area unit. In contrast, under conditions of severe drought stress the chlorophyll content decreases as a result of accelerated leaf senescence.

Table 2: Mean soil moisture and standard deviation (n=6) measured at 7<sup>th</sup> and 14<sup>th</sup> day of the experiment under individual drought, VPD, CO<sub>2</sub> concentration and temperature treatments.

		Treatment variant								
Day		C_AC		L_AC		L_EC				
		WET	DRY	WET	DRY	WET	DRY			
7 <sup>th</sup> day	Mean soil moisture [%]	25,33	16,26	23,41	16,33	27,19	17,73			
	Standard deviation	$\pm 2,20$	±2,10	±2,29	±1,67	±2,94	±1,96			
14 <sup>th</sup> day	Mean soil moisture [%]	21,42	11,43	20,13	10,88	24,56	12,25			
	Standard deviation	±2,08	±1,60	±1,97	±1,16	±2,15	±1,41			
		H_AC		H_EC						
		WET	DRY	WET	DRY					
7 <sup>th</sup> day	Mean soil moisture [%]	24,20	16,01	23,89	17,23					
	Standard deviation	±1,93	±2,11	±1,79	±2,41					
14 <sup>th</sup> day	Mean soil moisture [%]	17,73	10,43	17,94	12,00					
	Standard deviation	±1,46	±0,86	±1,19	±1,49					

One of the most important parameter monitored during experiment was light saturated CO2 assimilation rate at growth  $CO_2$  concentration ( $A_{max}$ ; Fig. 2). In the first stage (after 7 days) of experiment, there were no apparent differences in  $A_{\text{max}}$  if the plants were sufficiently supplied with water regardless of temperature, VPD and EC effects. Conversely, the impact of drought on  $A_{\text{max}}$  was pronounced already seven days from the beginning of the experiment and also showed a clearly mitigating effect of EC on the negative drought effect. In both low and high VPD was the  $A_{\text{max}}$  decrease caused by drought, reduced almost by half under EC. A similar, but less pronounced alleviating effect of EC was also observed 14 days from the beginning of the experiment. In contrast, the stimulation effect of EC was highlighted after 14 days in treatments sufficiently supplied with water, particularly under low VPD. These results suggest that the EC contributes to drought stress alleviation in its initial stages, whereas longer exposure to EC has a stimulation effect on  $A_{\text{max}}$  particularly in combination with higher temperature and sufficient water supply.



Figure 1. Changes in chlorophyll content per area unit in poplar leaves in response to drought stress, VPD,  $CO_2$  concentration and air temperature. The means (vertical bars) and standard deviations (error bars) are presented (n=6).



Figure 2. Changes in light saturated  $CO_2$  assimilation rate measured at growth  $CO_2$  concentration in response to drought stress, VPD,  $CO_2$  concentration and air temperature. The means (vertical bars) and standard deviations (error bars) are presented (n=6).

Different interaction effect of EC and drought on  $A_{\text{max}}$  can be also seen from the relationship between soil moisture and  $A_{\text{max}}$ and it is evident that the impact of EC changes over time (Fig. 3). From the change of slope of this relationship it is evident that EC initially acts positively under drought stress, while later the stimulatory effect of EC is more pronounced under sufficient water supply. This changing response is probably related to the effect of drought on growth and limitation of sink for assimilates. After prolonged exposure to drought the sink for carbohydrates is reduced and this results in feedback regulation of photosynthesis under EC. On the contrary, under sufficient water supply the EC and higher temperatures boost plant growth, thus creating a higher sink for assimilates and photosynthesis is then stimulated.



Figure 3. Changes in relationships between soil moisture and light saturated CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation rate measured at growth CO<sub>2</sub> concentration ( $A_{max}$ ) determined separately for ambient (AC- white symbols) and elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (EC- gray symbols).



Figure 4. Plant height at the end of experiment as affected by drought stress, VPD, CO<sub>2</sub> concentration and air temperature. The means (vertical bars) and standard deviations (error bars) are presented (n=6).

From the evaluation of plant height after 14 days of the experiment it is evident that the higher air temperature stimulates the growth particularly in combination with EC (Fig. 4). Conversely, drought stress reduced height increments. VPD impact on the growth of poplars was relatively small. These findings suggest that  $A_{max}$  stimulation by EC is determined by rapid plant growth and thus by a high sink capacity. Sufficient height growth in the case of this experiment, was ensured by a higher temperature in combination with a sufficient water supply.

### CONCLUSION

The results showed that the EC mitigates the drought stress but only in the beginning, the mitigation is insufficient later. Contrarily, later, EC stimulates photosynthesis and growth only under the conditions with water sufficiency and this is because of sufficient sink for photosynthesis products is created.

#### Acknowledgement

This contribution was supported by Czech program KONTAKT II project No. LH12037 "Development of models for assessment of abiotic stresses in selected bioenergy plants" and by the Operational Program of Education for Competitiveness of Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic project "Establishment of International Scientific Team Focused on Drought Research" (No. OP VK CZ.1.07/2.3.00/20.0248). This work was supported by the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of CR within the National Sustainability Program I (NPU I), grant number LO1415.

### LITERATURE

- Acock, B., Allen, L.H., 1985. Crop responses to elevated carbon dioxide concentrations, p. 317-346. In: Strain, B.R., Cure, J.D. (eds.). Direct effects of increasing carbon dioxide on vegetation. DOE/ER-0238, Office of Energy Research, U. S., Dept. of Energy, Washington DC.
- Allen, L.H., 1994. Carbon dioxide increase: Direct impact on crops and indirect effects mediated through anticipated climatic changes, p. 425-459, In: Boote, K.J., Sinclair, T.R., Bennet, J.M. (eds.). Physiology and determination of crop yields. ASA, CSSA, SSSA, Madison, WI.
- Baker, J.T., Allen, L.H., Boote, K.J., Jones, P., Jones, J.W., 1990. Rice photosynthesis and evapotranspiration in subambient, ambient and superambient carbon dioxide concentrations. Agron. J. 82:834-840.
- Bazzas, F.A., 1990. The response of natural ecosystems to the rising global CO<sub>2</sub> levels. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst, 21:167-196.
- Jones, P., Allen, L.H., Jones, J.W., Boote, K.J., Campbell, W.J., 1984. Soybean canopy growth, photosynthesis, and transpiration responses to whole-season carbon dioxide enrichment. Agron. J. 76:633-637.
- Manter, D.K., Kerrigan, J., 2004. A/Ci curve analysis across a range of woody plant species: influence of regression analysis parameters and mesophyll conductance. Journal of Experimental Botany, Vol. 55, No. 408, pp. 2581– 2588, doi:10.1093/jxb/erh260.
- Marron, N., Dreyer, E., Boudouresque, E., Delay, D., Petit, J. M., Delmotte, F.M., Brignolas F., 2003. Impact of successive drought and re-watering cycles on growth and specific leaf area of two Populus×Canadensis (Moench) clones, 'Dorskamp' and 'Luisa\_Avanzo'. Tree Physiol. 23:1225–1235.
- Morison, J.I.L., 1985. Sensitivity of stomata and water use efficiency to high CO<sub>2</sub>. Plant Cell Environ, 8:467-474.
- Ocheltree, T.W., Nippert, J.B., Prasad, P.V.V., 2013. Stomatal responses to changes in vapor pressure deficit reflect tissue-specific differences in hydraulic conductance. Plant, Cell and Environment, doi: 10.1111/pce.12137.
- Prior, S.A., Watts, D.B., Arriage, F.J., Runion, G.B., Torbert, H.A., Rogers, H.H., 2010. Influence of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and tillage practice on rainfall simulation, p. 83-88. In: Conservation agriculture impacts-Local and global. Proc. 32<sup>nd</sup> Southern Conservation Agricultural Systems Conference, Jackson, TN.
- Prior, S.A., Runion, G.B., Marble, S.Ch., Rogers, H.H., Gilliam, Ch.H., Torbert, A.H., 2011. A Review of Elevated Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> Effects on Plant Growth and Water Relations: Implications for Horticulture, HortScience, Vol. 46(2), 2011.
- Zhao, M., Running, S.W., 2010. Drought-induced reduction in global terrestrial net primary production from 2000 through 2009. Science 329, 940-943.